

Information Overload/ Fake News: Are we overwhelmed?

Paul Wälti / 13 May 2019

Overview

- 1. Rapid increase of information key figures
- 2. What does that mean? Special newest developments
 - a) Disinformation due to information overload
 - b) Filter bubbles
 - c) Fake News
 - d) Cybercrime, data protection regulations (e.g., GDPR)
 - e) Artificial intelligence on the rise
- 3. How do we separate the wheat from the chaff?



Distribution of information - historical development

- Palmyra 2000 BC stone, ceramics Sokrates 350 BC only oral - documented by Platon (papyrus fragments) Archimedes 250 BC
- Monasteries 360 first Christian Monastery (Egypt)
- Gutenberg 1450 book printing with movable types
- Radio/TV since 1900 / 1950
- Computer since 1954 first computer (Konrad Zuse, John v. Neumann)
- Internet since 1989 commercialization by WWW (<u>Tim Berners-Lee</u>, CERN), email since 1993 rapid upswing by browsers since 2003 social media: LinkedIn, XING, Facebook, Twitter







Volume and growth of electronically stored data

Study of IDC (November 2010):

New data is growing 50 to 100% per year, i.e., doubling every 1 to 2 years.

International Data Corporation (IDC), Massachusetts,

is a renowned international market research and consulting company in the field of information technology and telecommunications with branches in more than 110 countries.



Increase of information at a doubling in 1-2 years

| <u>time</u> | <u>rel. info.</u> | mass | required material for storage |
|--------------|-------------------|---------------------|--|
| today | 1 | 10^6 kg | = 1'000 tons = 1 tank comp. |
| in 3 years | 4 | 4*10 ^{^6} | kg |
| in 6 years | 16 | 16*10 ^{^6} | kg |
| in 9 years | 64 | 64*10 ^{^6} | kg |
| | | | |
| in 30 years | 10^6 = | 1 mio. | 10 ¹² kg |
| in 60 years | 10^12 = | 1 mrd. | 10 ^{^18} kg |
| in 90 years | 10^18 = | 1 tri. | 10^{24} kg \leftarrow weight of the earth = $6*10^{24}$ kg |
| in 120 years | 10^24 = | 1 qua. | 10 ^{^30} kg |
| | | | |

Limits of miniaturization: e.g. speed of light, Planck's constant



2. Some Practical Consequences

a) Information overload

Press agencies Media, Internet

UPI, Reuters, } — { various newspapers, radio, DPA, AFP, etc. } ~ { TV, Info portals, emails, { social media etc.



many almost identical articles on many media: one drowns

what to believe? partly formulated differently or manipulated:

"Information overload is - particularly in the working world - a trigger for mental fatigue and stress" (arte, 13 August 2018)

Note: The bluff and misdirection by a flood of confusing infomation is not new (Clausewitz)



b) Coming up of Filter Bubbles

- Internet media attempt to estimate the preferences and susceptibilities of the users
- Thereafter, they forward primarily those information to the user that matches his topics of interest (="personalized information")

 \rightarrow isolation against other opinions

- In extreme cases: <u>manipulation</u> by playing with the weaknesses of the user
- 2016: Filter Bubbles = word of the year



Source: Wikipedia



c) Fake News

- Un-word of the year 2014: Liar press
- Un-word of the year 2017: Alternative News (for Fake News)



Kellyanne Conway 2017 Trump Campaign Manager Inventor of "Alternative News"



Sarah Sanders, Press speaker of the White House Trump's liar-in-chief



Examples of Fake News in the American Election Campaign

- 1. "Pope Francis recommends the election of Donald Trump." (960 000 shares on Facebook)
- "Hillary Clinton has sold weapons to the Islamic State (IS)." (789 000 shares on Facebook)
- 3. "Hillary Clinton's e-mails to the IS have become public" (754 000 Shares).

Source: Buzzfeed News



Fake News also in the fashion and beauty care

Source: LinkedIn

Diese Russin sieht in echt komplett anders aus, als auf ihren viel-gelikten Bildern.





d) Cybercrime / Data Protection Regulations

 "Hacker attacks have evolved from teenage pranks to a billion dollar growth market."
 (Source: https://de.malwarebytes.com/backer/)

(Source: *https://de.malwarebytes.com/hacker/*)

- A regional paralysis of the Internet is no longer excluded
 → new dimension for terrorist attacks.
- Blackmailing, forgeries, pornography, etc.
- Examples: Russian interference in elections, GPS interference by Russia in Finland
- Growing regulations, e.g. GDPR of the EU, May 2018, concerning data protection.

Til today: at least 75 fines have been imposed



e) Artificial Intelligence on the upswing





Artificial Intelligence (AI) ... the euphoria

Market analysts from Gartner Group argued (2017):

- by 2020 AI technology is part of almost all software products
- AI is one of the top 5 investment priorities for 30% of the CIO

However: ... the disillusionment

- Machine intelligence is substantially overestimated Heinz Scheuring, NZZaS 19.08.2017
- Progress is very high in the Robotics (quasi-AI), but not in the cognitive areas (analysis of free texts, decision processes, trend recognition, categorization, etc.)
- Computers = blindly obeying machines that are not meaning driven Willi Ritschard: computers work only so fast because they do not think
- With innovation/creativity, AI has difficulties (Financial Times, Oct. 2018)



3. How Do We Separate the Wheat from the Chaff?

Various aspects

- "Bring order and knowledge into the flood of data" (www.chemie.de, 9.4.2019)
- Reduction of the amount of information by bundling similar articles
- Restriction to the topics one is interested in
- Automatic recognition of fake news / spam
 - a) in obvious cases
 - b) in complex, business relevant cases will be treated in more detail
- Make sure that people do not believe blindly in Fake News and spam (important, but this a case for psychologists and politicians)



Recognition of Fake News in obvious cases

Examples

"My name is Mavis Wanczyk, winner of the \$ 758.7 million Power Ball Jackpot. I donate € 1.800.000,00 to you. Contact me by e-mail: maviswanczyk0009@gmail.com for info/claim"

"Ich, Herr Shaw .S. peter bietet ein zuverlässiges kreditangebot an, ich meine zuverlässiges garantieroangebot bei einer garantie zu einem erschwinglichen festen zinssatz von nur 1,2 prozent, bieten wir ausleihdarlehen von der mindestmenge von 10.000 euro bis zur maxima von 150.000.000 euro für den zeitraum von 1 bis Nur für 40 Jahre, also für weitere Details, wenn Sie Interesse an uns haben, dann erreichen Sie uns bitte über unsere Email-Adresse Antwort an: <u>edinburghloancompany@yandex.com</u>"



Recognition of Fake News in complex cases

This is about semantic analysis of texts (text mining and content recognition) and about mathematical methods (neural networks etc.).

Possible tools/technologies:

- 1. Fraunhofer tool:
 - Machine learning through training with credible articles or false news, respectively



(source: Heise.de, Feb. 2019)

 InfoCodex technology: Semantic content recognition + neural network + math. statistics + linguistics





Detection of hidden Fake News with InfoCodex

 The potential of InfoCodex in detecting hidden relationships is explained by the example of a comprehensive benchmark conducted

by the pharmaceutical company **Merck USA** with InfoCodex.

- <u>Statement of the problem</u>: Recognize previously unknown biomarkers through the analysis of large volumes of medical publications.
- It is not attempted to explain the technology, but only the procedure.
- The same procedure can also be used when detecting hidden false news.



Discovery of Unknown Relations in Drug Research



Traditional bioinformatics: structured data Sequence alignment, gene finding, genome assembly, protein structure prediction, gene expression...

| | | - | ١ |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | | ł |
| | _ | | |
| 1 | | | I |
| | | | l |

New opportunities: e-Discovery in unstructured data Knowledge repositories such as PubMed with 22 million citations, growing at the rate of 1.7 papers/minute

Merck's Question Is it possible to drive drug research by text mining large pools of biomedical documents?



Semantic Technologies in the Pharma Industry

Commonly used: **NLP to extract triples** *"entity 1-relation-entity 2"* sentence-by-sentence

- ⇒ helps to care for ontologies / libraries
- ⇒ finds only what has been written down by an author, i.e. is not a discovery approach

Going beyond triples

Analyze text collections globally to identify small, seemingly unrelated and unnoticed facts dispersed over isolated texts, like assembling the scattered pieces of a puzzle.





The Experiment of Merck & Co with InfoCodex



The objective:

Test pure machine intelligence for "semantic" drug research

The tasks:

- Discover novel biomarkers for diabetes and obesity (D&O) by analyzing 120'000 medical publications (PubMed etc.)
- ► Blind experiment, no human feedback

Biomarker: \$13.6 billion market in 2011, growing to \$25 billion by 2016



Method: e-Discovery in Large Sets of Publications



Keys to success:

- Ability to categorize unstructured information (in a benchmark, InfoCodex reached the very high clustering accuracy of 88%)
- Advanced statistics: combination of unnoticed correlations (the sentence-by-sentence analysis of the NLP approaches can detect only those relations that have been written down by an author, i.e. that are already known)



Step 1: Establish Reference Models for Biomarkers

- Collect documents describing known biomarkers for diabetes
- Cluster these documents (build groups of similar documents)
- Each cluster is considered as a reference model for the meanings of "biomarkers for diabetes"



The "Miss Marple" function



Step 2: Determine the Meaning of Unknown Words



Example:

"Hctz" is a "diuretic drug" and is a synonym of "hydrochlorothiazide"

(estimated by machine intelligence plus the internal knowledge base)

| Α | В | С |
|------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|
| Unknown term | Constructed | Associated |
| | hypernym | descriptor 1 |
| Nn1250 | clinical study | insulin glargine |
| Tolterodine | cavity | overactive bladder |
| Ranibizumab | drug | macular edema |
| Nn5401 | clinical study | insulin aspart |
| Duloxetine | antidepressant | personal physician |
| Endocannabinoid | receptor | enzyme |
| Becaplermin | pathology | ulcer |
| Candesartan | cardiovascular disease | high blood pressure |
| Srt2104 | medicine | placebo |
| Olmesartan | cardiovascular medicine | amlodipine |
| Hctz | diuretic drug | hydrochlorothiazide |
| Eslicarbazepine | anti nervous | Zebinix |
| Zonisamide | anti nervous | Topiramate Capsules |
| Mk0431 | antidiabetic | sitagliptin |
| Ziprasidone | tranquilizer | major tranquilizer |
| Psicofarmcologia | motivation | incentive |
| Medoxomil | cardiovascular medicine | amlodipine |



Step 3: Construct Potential D&O Biomarkers (substances close to one of the reference models)

Links to the relevant PubMed documents

| | A | В | С | D | E | F | | | | | | |
|----|--|--------------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------|--------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|--------------|-----------|----------|
| 1 | Part "Biomarkers" from Pubmed with c | nfidence le | vel > 5%; 100% refers to biom | arkers of the | refere | ence : | set | | | | | |
| 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | Term | Relationship | Object | Target | Conf | N.Do | PMIDs | | | | | |
| 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | Human equilibrative nucleoside transporter-3 | BiomarkerFor | Diabetes | | 100.0 | 2 | 20595384, 20 | 0032083 | | | | |
| 6 | Human equilibrative nucleoside transporter-3 | SynonymOf | hENT3 | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | microRNA | BiomarkerFor | Diabetes | | 100.0 | 44 | 20857148, 21 | 1118127, 213352 | 16, 20015039 |), 20358579, | 20364159, | 21261648 |
| 8 | microRNA | BiomarkerFor | Diabetes | FABP_4_aP2 | 100.0 | 1 | 20486779 | | | | | |
| 9 | microRNA | BiomarkerFor | Obesity | | 26.1 | 58 | 21355787, 19 | 9650761, 211521 | 17, 2111812 | 7, 21118894, | 20886002, | 19188425 |
| 10 | microRNA | BiomarkerFor | Obesity | FABP_4_aP2 | 26.1 | 4 | 19460359, 18 | 8809385, 212914 | 93, 20486779 |) | | |
| 11 | microRNA | BiomarkerFor | Obesity | GPR74 | 26.1 | 1 | 21036322 | | | | | |
| 12 | microRNA | BiomarkerFor | Obesity | AMPK | 26.1 | 1 | 16459310 | | | | | |
| 13 | microRNA | SynonymOf | micro-RNA | | | | | | | | | |
| 14 | microRNA | SynonymOf | micro ribonucleic acid | | | | | | | | | |
| 15 | microRNA | SynonymOf | miRNA | | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | microRNA | SynonymOf | miRNA based | | | | | | | | | |
| 17 | microRNA | SynonymOf | MIR126 gene | | | | | | | | | |
| 18 | microRNA | SynonymOf | MiR-126 | | | | | | | | | |
| 19 | potassium inwardly-rectifying | BiomarkerFor | Diabetes | | 100.0 | 50 | 20042013, 20 | 0194712, 203687 | 37, 2040170 | 5, 20531501, | 20546293, | 20863361 |
| 20 | potassium inwardly-rectifying | BiomarkerFor | Diabetes | FTO | 100.0 | 8 | 18597214, 19 | 9020324, 189846 | 64, 20503258 | 8, 18598350, | 20142250, | 18710364 |
| 21 | potassium inwardly-rectifying | BiomarkerFor | Obesity | | 21.0 | 24 | 20049090, 20 | 0307313, 185983 | 50, 18710364 | 4, 20712903 | 18498634, | 21391351 |
| 22 | potassium inwardly-rectifying | BiomarkerFor | Obesity | FTO | 21.0 | 4 | 20049090, 18 | 8598350, 187103 | 64, 20929593 | 3 | | |
| 23 | potassium inwardly-rectifying | SynonymOf | KCNJ11 | | | | | | | | | |
| 24 | potassium inwardly-rectifying | SynonymOf | Kir6.2 gene | | | | | | | | | |



Assessment of the Results

See Trugenberger et al. BMC Bioinformatics 2013, 14:51

| <u>Term</u> | Relat. | Object | Target | Conf% | #Docs |
|-----------------|--------------|----------|---------|-------|-------|
| wenqing | BiomarkerFor | Obesity | Obesity | 53.5 | 29 |
| proteomic | BiomarkerFor | Obesity | Obesity | 40.8 | 128 |
| gene expression | BiomarkerFor | Obesity | Obesity | 38.9 | 62 |
| Mouse model | BiomarkerFor | Obesity | Obesity | 19.8 | 17 |
| muise | BiomarkerFor | Obesity | Obesity | 17.5 | 20 |
| athero- | BiomarkerFor | Obesity | Obesity | 16.5 | 6 |
| shrna | BiomarkerFor | Obesity | Obesity | 9.6 | 4 |
| inflammation | BiomarkerFor | Obesity | Obesity | 8.2 | 4 |
| TBD | BiomarkerFor | Obesity | Obesity | 7.4 | 3 |
| body weight | PhenoTypeOf | Diabetes | MGAT2 | | 1 |
| cell line | BiomarkerFor | Diabetes | MGAT2 | | 1 |

Weak Points

Many uninteresting candidates ➡ too much noise (can be easily eliminated)

Strong Points

Lots of "*needles in the haystack*" Tens of extremely interesting and valuable candidates

| Term | Relat. | Object | Target | Conf% | #Docs |
|------------------------------------|--------------|----------|----------|-------|-------|
| N | PhenoTypeOf | Obesity | Obesity | 7.7 | 4 |
| le Novel and semantically coherent | PhenoTypeOf | Obesity | Obesity | 7 | 6 |
| c terms, and therefore potentially | BiomarkerFor | Obesity | Obesity | 4.9 | 1 |
| m valuable | BiomarkerFor | Obesity | Obesity | 4.9 | 1 |
| P | BiomarkerFor | Obesity | Obesity | 2.9 | 2 |
| M | BiomarkerFor | Obesity | Obesity | 2.2 | 1 |
| M | BiomarkerFor | Obesity | Obesity | 2.2 | 1 |
| M (Merck proprietary terms hidden) | BiomarkerFor | Obesity | Obesity | 2.2 | 1 |
| re | BiomarkerFor | Diabetes | Diabetes | 14.5 | 1 |
| B | BiomarkerFor | Diabetes | Diabetes | 2.8 | 2 |



What has the benchmark to do with the discovery of Fake News

- The methodology is not limited to the discovery of biomarkers.
- The benchmark shows that the text-mining of large volumes of unstructured documents, combined with cross-documentary statistical analysis, can uncover unknown relationships. ("the Holy Grail of text mining", what NLP methods cannot offer).
- But it needs a **reference model**: What are credible statements (or examples of fake news) in the considered area.
- Because the computer cannot think, it can just compare